Attorney Docket No. 88556.0027 Customer No.: 26021

REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

Claims 1-13 remain pending. Claims 1, 2, 7-9, and 13 are amended. Reexamination and reconsideration of the application are respectfully requested.

CLAIM REJECTIONS UNDER 35 U.S.C. § 102 and § 103

Claims 1-7 and 9-13 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as unpatentable over Kao (U.S. Patent 5,440,484). Claim 8 stands rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as unpatentable over Kao in view of Manfred (U.S. Patent 7,146,740).

The claims of instant application patently distinguish over the cited art. Thus, applicant respectfully submits that the rejections of the claims should be withdrawn.

Amended claim 1 recites:

A mobile bearing calculator comprising:

- a geomagnetic sensor for detecting earthmagnetism and
- a control unit for calculating the geographical bearing based on detection values of the geomagnetic sensor; and

an electronic part mounted at the mobile bearing calculator, wherein a change of an operation state of the electronic part changes an earth-magnetism detection value of the geomagnetic senor,

the control unit monitors for an event whereby the operation state of the electronic part changes, or a change of the operation state occurs,

and corrects the geographical bearing in accordance with occurrence of the event of the change of the operation state.

Accordingly, claim 1 provides a mobile bearing calculator having an electronic part mounted thereon. In one example, a mobile bearing calculator 100 having a wireless communication unit 150 is described in applicant's specification at FIG. 6 and accompanying text.

Further, a geomagnetic senor 158 provides an earth-magnetism detection value. A change of the operation state of the electronic part changes the earth-magnetism detection value of the geomagnetic senor (page 34, line 21 – page 35, line 24). Examples of a change in operation state includes operating audio on the speaker, turning on and off LCD backlight, updating map display, and inserting a memory medium into the device, etc. (id.). FIG. 18 illustrates the varying earth-magnetism detection values as results of inserting the media medium.

The control unit of the calculator monitors for an event of changes in the operation state of the electronic part. Upon detection of such event, the calculator corrects the geographical bearing in accordance with occurrence of the event (page 35, last line – page 36, line 5).

The cited art does not teach or suggest the above limitations, including the limitations "an electronic part..., wherein a change of an operation state of the electronic part changes an earth-magnetism detection value of the geomagnetic senor." Moreover, since the cited art does not teach or suggest the "electronic part," it does not teach or suggest "the control unit monitors for an event whereby the operation state of the electronic part changes, or a change of the operation state occurs," and "the control unit... corrects the geographical bearing in accordance with occurrence of the event of the change of the operation state."

Kao is generally directed to a direction sensor and a method of calibrating the relative heading based on measurements of an absolute heading sensor (Abstract; the absolute heading sensor is a geomagnetic sensor 16). The method is based on a

Appl. No. 10/599,543

Amdt. Dated March 22, 2010

Reply to Office Action of December 22, 2009

Attorney Docket No. 88556.0027

Customer No.: 26021

known angular relationship between maxima and minima on the measurement

curve of the absolute heading sensor. The maxima and minima are found by

rotating the sensors through an angle of at least 360°, reading the absolute and

relative heading sensor outputs at numerous points, and comparing each successive

output (id.). Kao, however, does not teach or suggest an electronic part, and a

change of the operation state of the electronic part changes an earth-magnetism

detection value of the geomagnetic senor. Moreover, Kao does not teach or suggest

the reading of the geomagnetic senor being changed, which further evidences that

Kao does not teach or suggest the electronic part. Moreover, given that Kao does

not teach or suggest the electronic part, Kao does not teach or suggest detecting a

change in the operation state of the electronic part, and correcting the geographical

bearing based on that detection.

Likewise, the combination of Kao and Manfred do not teach or suggest the

limitations of claim 1 discussed above. Manfred is generally directed at a method

for characterizing distortions in the earth's magnetic field caused by a vehicle

having a magnetometer affixed therein. The method includes repeatedly measuring

the distorted magnetic field and obtaining a three-dimensional orientation of the

vehicle axes with respect to the earth at a time of each magnetometer measurement

(Abstract). Manfred does not teach or suggest limitations having the electronic part

or the control unit required by claim 1.

For the above reasons, the § 102(b) and § 103(a) rejections of claim 1 and

claims 2-12, which depend from claim 1, should be withdrawn. Moreover, claims 2-

12 recite additional limitations not fairly taught or suggested by the cited art. For

example, claim 3 provides that the control unit displays a pictograph on the display

unit indicating the direction a specific bearing. The Action at page 3, section (c)

contends that the map matching unit 28 as corresponding to the pictograph.

Customer No.: 26021

However, Kao at col. 4, lines 53-57 indicates that the map matching unit 28 performs the function of ascertaining a position of the vehicle on the map, and not displaying the pictograph as required by claim 3.

Claim 7 provides a positional information acquiring unit for acquiring information relating to the geographical location of a current position and a wireless communication unit able to connect to a communication network. The cited art does not teach or suggest those suggestions. The Action at page 3, section (g) contends that the user interface 34 corresponds to the limitations of claim 7. However, Kao at col. 5, lines 25-26 indicates that the user interface 34 is a keyboard, which does not correspond to the positional information acquiring unit and the wireless communication unit of claim 7. For example, a keyboard is not a wireless communication unit able to connect to a communication network.

Claim 9 provides a storage unit for storing correction data corresponding to a plurality of different events or a plurality of different changes of the operation state. The Action at page 4, section (h) contends that the database 30 correspond to those limitations. However, Kao at col. 4, lines 49-51 indicates that the database 30 stores a map of the area the vehicle is traveling in. Thus, the database 30 of Kao does not correspond to the storage unit storing correction data corresponding to a plurality of different events (the event is a change in the operation state of the electronic part).

Thus, claims § 102(b) and § 103(a) rejections of claims 2-12 should be withdrawn.

Claim 13 recites limitations similar to those of claim 1 discussed above, including "a step of monitoring for an event whereby an operation state of the electronic part changes or a change of the operation state occurs" and "a step of correcting the geographical bearing in accordance with the occurrence of the event

Appl. No. 10/599,543

Amdt. Dated March 22, 2010

Reply to Office Action of December 22, 2009

Attorney Docket No. 88556.0027

Customer No.: 26021

or the change of the operation state." Thus, the § 103(a) rejection of that claim

should likewise be withdrawn.

CONCLUSION

In view of the foregoing, it is respectfully submitted that the application is in

condition for allowance. Reexamination and reconsideration of the application, as

amended, are requested.

If for any reason the Examiner finds the application other than in condition

for allowance, the Examiner is requested to call the undersigned attorney at the Los

Angeles, California telephone number (310)785-4600 to discuss the steps necessary

for placing the application in condition for allowance.

If there are any fees due in connection with the filing of this response, please

charge the fees to our Deposit Account No. 50-1314.

Respectfully submitted,

allery

HOGAN & HARTSON L.L.P.

Date: March 22, 2010

Lawrence J. McClure

✓ Registration No. 44,228

Attorney for Applicant(s)

1999 Avenue of the Stars, Suite 1400

Los Angeles, California 90067

Telephone: 310-785-4600

Facsimile: 310-785-4601